

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,884	08/28/2001	Joan Manuel Garcia	60003206-1	7849	
75	90 01/03/2003				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 27240	-		NGUYEN, LAM S		
Fort Collins, Co) 80527-2400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2853	-	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	16/			
Office Action Summary				l —			
		09/941,884 Examiner	GARCIA ET AL.				
			Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication an	LAM S NGUYEN	2853	droop.			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2002 .					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 6, 9, 13, 18, 20-22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,10-12,14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,15 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)∐ ⊺	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/941,884

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings: FIG. 11 and FIG. 12 which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being obvious by Dunand (US 6398334).

Dunand discloses a diagnostic method for visual detection media advance calibration in an ink-jet printing comprising:

printing different areas of a diagnostic at different passes of one or more ink-jet printhead controlled amount of media advances between the passes, to accumulate media advance error between the printing of the different areas; and examining the diagnostic pattern to determine whether the accumulated media advance error is sufficiently objectionable to take corrective action (column 10, line 22-26: if the accumulated advance error reaches a half of a

Application/Control Number: 09/941,884

Art Unit: 2853

nominal advance, the corrective action is that the reference mark is chosen to print the next band).

Referring to claims 2 and 11: wherein said printing different areas comprises: printing a first area comprising a first set of pixels printed during a first pass; conducting a plurality of incremental media advances; printing a further area comprising a second set of pixels printed during a further pass, wherein media advance errors resulting from said plurality of media advances are accumulated between printing said first area and printing said further area (FIG. 8).

Referring to claims 3, 12: wherein said different areas are nominally aligned along a horizontal line (FIG. 8).

Referring to claims 5 and 14: the step of examining the diagnostic pattern is conducted by an optical sensor (FIG. 8, element 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunand (US 6398334) in view of Maeda et al. (US 6334659).

Dunand discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except that wherein said step of printing different areas of a diagnostic plot includes: applying a diagnostic multi-pass print mode mask, wherein a plurality of carriage passes are employed to print the area subtended by a

Application/Control Number: 09/941,884

Art Unit: 2853

printhead nozzle array, the diagnostic print mode mask comprising a rectilinear grid of pixels, with each pixel location having a number associated therewith, the number representing the pass in which the pixel will be printed, and wherein said different areas nominally aligned along a horizontal line include a first set of pixels on a row of said grid, and a second set of pixels on said row, and wherein said first set of pixels is printed on a different pass than said second set of pixels is printed.

However, Maeda et al. discloses that wherein said step of printing different areas of a diagnostic plot includes: applying a diagnostic multi-pass print mode mask, wherein a plurality of carriage passes are employed to print the area subtended by a printhead nozzle array (FIG. 7A), the diagnostic print mode mask comprising a rectilinear grid of pixels (FIG. 10), with each pixel location having a number associated therewith (FIG.10), the number representing the pass in which the pixel will be printed, and wherein said different areas nominally aligned along a horizontal line (FIG. 10C: areas printed by #1 pixel and #3 pixel are aligned along a horizontal line) include a first set of pixels on a row of said grid, and a second set of pixels on said row (FIG. 10C: the #1 pixel set is on the same row with the #3 pixel set), and wherein said first set of pixels is printed on a different pass than said second set of pixels is printed (FIG. 10C: the #1 pixel set are printed on the different passes).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the applying of a diagnostic multi-pass print mode mask as disclosed by Maeda et al. into the advance control process as disclosed by Dunand. The motivation of doing so is to reduce the formed bind pitch to less than paper transport width

Application/Control Number: 09/941,884 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

without increasing the number of scans; thus, the banding artifacts are imperceptible as taught by Maeda et al. (column 4, line 4-10).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 6, 9, 13, 18, 20-22 are allowed:

Referring to claims 4, 6, 9, 13, 18, 20-22: The most pertinent art Dunand (US 6398334) fails to disclose that the diagnostic print mode mask including a row wherein said first w/2 pixels are printed in a first pass, and said last w/2 pixels are printed in a last pass of said plurality of passes, said step of examining the diagnostic pattern is conducted visually by a user, and an initial step of checking for printhead health and taking any corrective needed action prior to printing said diagnostic pattern. Therefore, the claimed invention is not disclosed by the prior arts.

4. Claims 8, 15, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The most pertinent art Dunand (US 6398334) fails to disclose wherein said diagnostic print mode mask defining that the first w/2 pixels in the row are printed in the same pass and the last w/2 pixels in the row are printed in another pass, and an initial step of checking for printhead health and taking any corrective needed action prior to printing said diagnostic pattern.

Therefore, the claimed invention is not disclosed by the prior arts.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703)305-3342. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN E BARLOW can be reached on (703)308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3431 for regular communications and (703)305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LN

December 26, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800